

## Scientific Review Committee Evaluation Rubric

DATE	
RESEARCH TRACKING NUMBER	
STUDY TITLE	
PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR	

	ASSESSMENT	
ITEMS	1 - (lowest)	COMMENTS
	5 - (highest)	
Background and Scientific Rationale		
A summary of data available (published or		
unpublished) to build a rationale for the		
research question(s), study objectives, and		
research design.		
Study Objectives or Hypothesis (if		
applicable)		
General summary of the purpose, aim, or		
objective of the study or hypothesis.		
Consistent, no discrepancies between		
them.		
Study Design		
Includes a description of the study type.		
The type of study should be appropriate,		
based on the study objectives. Includes the		
study type, and sample size, inclusion		
and/or exclusion criteria, sampling		
method.		
Study Outcome Measures		
Provides criteria for the measures studied		
in terms of the endpoint/outcome		
measurements.		
Citation included, if validated instrument.		
Data Management and Quality Plan		
Addresses the mechanisms used to		
capture and store data. Also explain how		
data will be protected.		
Where, how, how long the data will be		
collected, stored, analyzed, protected and		
destruction method.		
Instrumentation are attached.		
Sample size Determination (if applicable)		
Sample size and explanation for the		
rationale of the sample size if applicable.		



Selection criteria for the study participants also provided (inclusion and/or exclusion criteria, sampling method)	
Statistical Analysis Plan  Description of the statistical plan used to address the objectives of the study.	
Limitations  Descriptions of the potential risks or hazards that subjects might experience.  Description of limitations of the study.	
Dissemination Plan  Describe the plan for dissemination of the results (conference, journal publication etc.). This section is not scored.	
SCORE	

DESCISION	SCORE
Approved as submitted*	30 - 40
Approved with recommendation(s)*	20 - 29
Approved pending required change(s)	10 - 19
Change(s) required for resubmission	0 - 9

<sup>\*</sup>If the proposal scores in this range but is missing a section (without appropriate explanation) or has a section of poor quality (3 or below), the proposal will automatically be rated "approved pending required change."

GENERAL COMMENTS					

